UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,434	06/16/2006	Ronny Leontina Marcel Vercauteren	19790-011US1 CER03-0012	1700
26191 FISH & RICHA	7590 08/04/200 ARDSON P.C.		EXAMINER	
PO BOX 1022	C NOVE 55440 1000		LILLING, HERBERT J	
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/583,434	VERCAUTEREN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HERBERT J. LILLING	1657	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be different and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T      Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters,		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with description of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or are subject.	lrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appli riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		

1. Receipt is acknowledged of a preliminary amendment filed June 16, 2006 and a prior art information disclosure statement field May 31, 2007 for this application which is a 371 of PCT/EP2004/014406 filed 12/17/2004 which claims benefit to EP 03258025.0 filed December 18, 2003.

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-21 and 28-33, drawn to a method of oxidizing carbohydrates and/carbohydrate derivatives, classified in Class 435, numerous subclasses which includes mainly 100-105.

Group II, claim(s) II, drawn to a process for producing D-gluconolactone, classified in Class 435 subclass 126.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons Invention I is drawn to oxidized products of carbohydrates or derivatives whereas Invention II is drawn to the production of a product which requires the formation of a lactone by lactonizing a product which is not required by Invention I.

It is considered that Invention I lack a special technical feature as evidenced by the following art, Ciu et al, U.S. 7,138,035.

In addition, Claims 22-27 do not further limit the process of Claim 1.

- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification which requires a separate search for Invention II pertaining to additional steps not required by Invention I;
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter drawn to the formation of ring condensation for the lactonizing a product not required by Invention I;
  - (c) the inventions require a different field of search for each of the above Inventions requiring searching different classes/subclasses as well as electronic resources employing different search queries);
  - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
  - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing

the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a

right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly

and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election

shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time

of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement

will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected

invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these

claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is

the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable

over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,434 Page 5

Art Unit: 1657

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to

determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is

requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the

specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from 6.

the examiner should be directed to HERBERT J. LILLING whose telephone number is 571-272-0918. The examiner can normally be reached on WORK AT HOME

MAXIFLEX.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JON WEBER can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H.J.Lilling: HJL

(571) 272-0918

Art Unit 1657

August 1, 2008

/HERBERT J LILLING/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1657 Application/Control Number: 10/583,434

Page 6

Art Unit: 1657